Intermediate Free Known Figure Sets 2026

KAWG Expert Analysis for INT FK Figures 2026

	Free Known figures proposals for 2026 Intermediate	Claude Coco Bessiere		Nigel Hopkins		Louis Vanel		Safety Working Group	
		Notes	Order of preference	Notes	Order of preference	Notes	Order of preference	Notes	Order of preference
A	Intermediate A Total K 105 	Usually , no negative spin , and risk of grey out climbing 45	X	Sequence design with multiple 45 lines. 3 Flicks		Depending on how sequence is built, speed management for fig 5 can be tough	4		
B	Intermediate B Total K 110 	Good sequence. Fig D is technical	1	Not too complex, only 1 flick. Crossbox positioning.	1	Set ok	1		
C	Intermediate C Total K 109 	tail slide for this level, a fortiori with diving!!!	X	Slide for INT high level. Other figures lower difficulty	4	2x2 after a tailslide can loose a lot of altitude in some conditions	3	Altidue loss in Fig E	
D	Intermediate D Total K 110 	No negative spin, risk of grey or black out in the loop following	X	Some higher INT complexity figures. Sequence design and flow ok.	2	G lock risk figure 1 Interesting set	2	Potential g-loc in Fig A.	

Intermediate A Total K 105



Fig A
7.2.1.1(6)
9.9.3.4(11)
K: 17

Fig B
8.4.3.1(15)
9.8.1.1(7)
9.1.5.1(2)
K: 24

Fig C
1.2.5.4(14)
9.12.1.4(7)
K: 21

Fig D
1.2.3.1(12)
9.9.2.2(13)
K: 25

Fig E
1.1.2.3(7)
9.9.4.4(11)
K: 18

Intermediate B Total K 110



Fig A
5.3.1.1(18)
9.2.2.4(11)
K: 29

Fig B
8.6.2.1(12)
9.1.3.2(4)
K: 16

Fig C
7.2.3.3(6)
9.4.3.2(5)
9.4.3.4(11)
K: 22

Fig D
1.2.3.1(12)
9.9.2.2(13)
K: 25

Fig E
8.4.3.1(15)
9.8.5.1(3)
K: 18

Intermediate C Total C K 109



Fig A
8.5.2.1(10)
9.1.2.2(6)
9.1.3.4(8)
K: 24



Fig B
7.2.2.1(6)
9.9.3.2(11)
K: 17



Fig C
5.2.1.1(17)
9.8.5.1(3)
K: 20



Fig D
2.2.5.1(22)
K: 22



Fig E
6.2.1.4(17)
9.2.5.4(9)
K: 26

Intermediate D Total C K 110



Fig A
8.6.2.4(12)
9.12.1.4(7)
9.1.3.2(4)
K: 23



Fig B
7.4.1.1(10)
9.1.3.8(12)
K: 22



Fig C
5.3.1.1(18)
K: 18



Fig D
8.5.7.1(12)
9.1.3.6(10)
K: 22



Fig E
8.4.1.1(13)
9.1.1.1(6)
9.1.5.3(6)
K: 25